LOGICAL FRAMEWORK MATRIX – LFM				
Wider Objective: What is the overall broader objective, to which the project will contribute? • To contribute to enhanced students' mobility capacity in HEIs in Serbia and Ukraine thanks to improved institutional governance through Students' Mobility Offices (SMOs) and responsible teaching staff	 Indicators of progress: What are the key indicators related to the wider objective? PC HEIs with improved institutional governance of students' mobility programs enabling necessary informational and academic support in mobility runs Possibility of future mobility programs evolvement through existing partner networks of HEIs and stakeholders versed in knowledge and best/good practice transfer (reps of ministries responsible for HE and staff of HEIs with train-the-trainer skills) 	 How indicators will be measured: What are the sources of information on these indicators? Number of students participating (and willing to participate) in mobility runs Number of students counseled through SMOs and teachers Number of HEIs-partners of PC project members with capacities to participate in mutual mobility programs Number of HEIs in PC that have contacted project members (HEIs or ministries responsible for HE) with interest to participate in future mobility programs Number of admin. and teaching staff reps from PC HEIs with train-the- trainer skills who participated in mobility runs of the project Number of admin. and teaching staff reps from PC HEIs with interest in work on mobility programs after project lifetime 		
 Specific Project Objective/s: What are the specific objectives, which the project shall achieve? Develop methodological framework for virtual and blended mobility runs encompassing guidance on best/good practices for key stakeholders (students, teaching and admin. staff of HEIs, public officers) at institutional and inter-institutional levels 	 Indicators of progress: What are the quantitative and qualitative indicators showing whether and to what extent the project's specific objectives are achieved? Elaborated methodology for key stakeholders of mobility programs (draft version by M15 and final codified version by M36) 7 Students' Mobility Offices founded (or International Relations Offices 	 How indicators will be measured: What are the sources of information that exist and can be collected? What are the methods required to get this information? assessment and evaluation reports on a) methodology (by project partners and students), b) mobility runs (by EU supervisors and students), 	Assumptions & risks: What are the factors and conditions not under the direct control of the project, which are necessary to achieve these objectives? What risks have to be considered? • Good coordination of work of key units (IRO or SMO, Dean's Office, career center, rectorate, faculties/departments or institutes) at PC HEIs in tasks requiring mutual	

Improve students' mobility	upgraded) by M9	c) PhD students' mobility run output	efforts
governance at institutional level	• 14 teaching staff and 7 admin. staff	(by EU and PC supervisors/peer	Competence and devotion of admin.
through Students' Mobility Offices and	reps from PC HEIs trained on students'	researchers),	and teaching staff at HEIs in PC
trained teaching staff	mobility governance with train-the-	d) digital lectures (cross-evaluation by	Willingness of Master and PhD
Develop mobility format enabling	trainer skills by M9	EU partners and students),	students to participate in pilot
better social inclusion (students with	about 50 teaching and admin. staff	e) training sessions (by PC HEIs),	mobility runs
disabilities) und underpin it with	reps from PC HEIs familiarized with	f) assimilation of training sessions (by	Readiness of peer researchers at
institutional solutions contributing to	students' mobility governance thanks	EU trainers),	hosting HEIs to establish contacts and
improved employability chances after	to broadcast training sessions by M9	g) employability-oriented measures	collaborate with selected PhD
graduation	 new unified research methodology 	(by QP WP-leader on the basis of	students
Develop mobility formats for PhD	syllabus for PC HEIs for mobility runs	statistics from PC HEIs)	Readiness of disabled students to
students to broaden their	by M5	 minutes of events for students (Info 	elaborate on their needs and
collaboration spectrum and improve	digital research methodology course	Days, round table on peculiarities of	participate in developed collaboration
research quality	by M7	student-supervisor interaction, two	formats during virtual mobility
	 digital lectures on pre-mobility 	round tables on mobility perspectives	
	preparatory coaching by M8	for researchers)	
	 14 digital spin-off lectures by 	 minutes of events for companies 	
	teaching staff from PC HEIs for future	(Infor Days for companies,	
	mobility runs by M13	presentation of project materials at	
	 112 Master students participating in 	annual job fairs)	
	two virtual mobility runs (PC-EU by	 number of company reps that got in 	
	M17 and PC-PC by M23)	contact with HEIs reps with regard to	
	 unified evaluation scale for work in 	undergraduates participating in	
	mobility runs by M14	mobility runs	
	 28 tasks and collaboration format 	 number of internal and external 	
	developed for virtual mobility runs (by	participants at open project events	
	M17 and M23)	(Info Days, round tables)	
	 37 PhD students participating in 	• number of Master students willing to	
	blended mobility run (PC-EU and PC-	participate in the second virtual	
	PC) by M32	mobility run	
	 blended mobility run outcome by 	number of student requests	
	PhD students (article, conference	processed by SMOs before and in the	
	proceedings) by M32	course of mobility runs	
	 at least 28 Info Days for students on 	 number of positive/negative or 	
	the premises of PC HEIs by M36	neutral reviews/comments on social	

Outputs (tangible) and Outcomes (intangible): • Please provide the list of concrete DELIVERABLES - outputs/outcomes (grouped in Workpackages), leading to the specific objective/s.: WP1 • report defining mobility role in HE • EU good practices • report on deficiencies and potential • international competence profile of employees • assessment report on literature and methodology course needs for mutual mobility in PCs	 7 Info Days for companies on the premises of PC HEIs by M36 14 to 21 rounds of project materials distribution at annual job fairs at PC HEIs Indicators of progress: What are the indicators to measure whether and to what extent the project achieves the envisaged results and effects? Findings of relevant previous projects dedicated to mobility analyzed At least 10 EU and international good practices in mobility collected and analyzed Students of partner HEIs and external students interviewed Reps of at least 10 companies 	 pages of the project number of PhD students willing to participate in developed blended mobility run number of new contacts established by PhD students with other peer researchers during mobility run How indicators will be measured: What are the sources of information on these indicators? Assessment and evaluation reports on key project activities and outputs External quality control reports Results of intermediary and final financial audits Evaluation of students' work in mobility runs SC and annual project reports Filled out questionnaires (for assessments and evaluations) 	Assumptions & risks: What external factors and conditions must be realised to obtain the expected outcomes and results on schedule? • Visa procedures at the Embassies of Programme Countries in Partner Countries • Adaptation of admin. and teaching staff to formats of developed mobility runs • HEIs ensure that students participating in virtual mobility runs do not have other studying obligations that would hinder their
 EU good practices report on deficiencies and potential international competence profile of employees assessment report on literature and methodology course needs for mutual mobility in PCs status quo and desired mobility formats for target groups in PCs WP2 train-the-trainer syllabi on mobility governance trained teaching and admin. (T&A) staff of HEIs SMOs at each HEI for support in mobility runs (MRs) acquired literature, equipment, and internet service 	 analyzed At least 10 EU and international good practices in mobility collected and analyzed Students of partner HEIs and external students interviewed Reps of at least 10 companies interviewed Quality of training sessions Quality of developed methodology (addressing key target groups, exhaustive guidance on references and practices) Work of founded SMOs (or upgraded International Relations Offices (IROs)) in support of mobility runs Availability and adequacy of learning and teaching materials (literature, lectures, syllabus, and tasks) and 	 Results of intermediary and final financial audits Evaluation of students' work in mobility runs SC and annual project reports Interviews with students 	 Adaptation of admin. and teaching staff to formats of developed mobility runs HEIs ensure that students participating in virtual mobility runs do not have other studying obligations that would hinder their further participation Enough company reps provide their feedback on time, so that timely preparation of competence profile is possible Selected digital literature and equipment are made available/delivered by publishers/sellers before mobility runs take place PhD students choose topics and manage their time within blended
 research methodology syllabus digital research methodology course and preparatory pre-mobility course 	 equipment for mobility runs Quality of supervision of students by teaching staff from PC HEIs in cross-PC 	 and at job fairs) Number of participants in open project events from partner and non- 	mobility run in such a way, so that a more active real mobility phase brings collaboration results

Students' Mobility Capacity Building in Higher Education in Ukraine and Serbia / MILETUS Page 61 of 187

- spin-off lectures by teachings staff of	mobility runs	partner institutions	
PC HEIS	• Extent of collaboration of SMOs and	Number of concrete blended	
	career centers of PC HEIs	mobility results of PhD students	
WP3	Interest of companies' reps in	(conference proceedings, articles etc.)	
- 24 teams (112 students) for 2 virtual	graduates with new skills (at Info Days	Perspectives of future collaboration	
MRs	and annual job fairs)	of PhD students with peer researchers	
- evaluation scale for MRs	Widened circle of students having	or the students with peer researchers	
- tasks & collaboration for teams	opportunity to participate in mobility		
- recognized ECTS points	runs (in particular, students with		
- skills of T&A staff for MRs improved	disabilities)		
- contacts with peer researchers for	Acquisition of skills from		
PhD students	competence profile by students		
- 37 supervised mobility flows (PC-EU	Acceptance of developed mobility		
and PC-PC) for PhD students	formats by students		
- collaboration results for PhD	• Expanded collaboration circle of PhD		
students	students		
	 Improved research quality of PhD 		
WP4	students		
- methodology for MRs	 Presence and statistics of external 		
- 6 events for verification &	participants at all open project events		
improvement of methodology	 Effectiveness of dissemination 		
(students, researchers, public officials,	activities/channels		
T&A staff, ministries) - assessments (students, PC HEIs and	 Sustainability of mobility formats 		
ministries) of methodology	developed for pilot mobility runs		
- codified methodology	 Success of agreements with 		
- counted methodology	rectorates		
WP5	 Effectiveness of project mngt. 		
- quality evaluation documents	 Timeliness of tasks accomplishment 		
- assessment & evaluation on	within the project		
a)MRs (2 virtual, 1 blended)			
b)lectures (by EU and PC partners)			
c)trainings			
d)dissemination			
e)employability-oriented measures			

f)methodology - good admin. & financial regulation - external quality control - terror-list check		
 WP6 project identity website & social accounts materials (4 languages) 28 dissemination events (students) & 21 employability events 3 newsletters (4 languages) sustainability plans for courses, MRs, & SMOs agreements with rectorates 		
 WP7 consortium agreement manual on financial & administrative regulation real-time online project mngt. quarterly mngt. reports intermediate & final project report SC meetings 7 SC reports & discussions 		
Activities: What are the key activities to be carried out (<u>arouped</u> <u>in Workpackages</u>) and in what sequence in order to produce the expected results? WP1 • Understanding (defining mobility and good practices) • State of the art (defencies, potentials, needs, wishes, requirements, previous programs)	Inputs: What inputs are required to implement these activities, e.g. staff time, equipment, mobilities, publications etc.? • Staff time (days) • TUHH: 541,5 (112/249,5/10/170) • POLIMI: 269 (20/191/6/52) • AAU: 210 (0/170/8/32) • MNAU: 329,5 (20/154,5/44,5/110,5) • NaUKMA: 285,5	Assumptions, risks and pre- conditions: What pre-conditions are required before the project starts? What conditions outside the project's direct control have to be present for the implementation of the planned activities? • English language skills of staff and students • Readiness to travel abroad • Availability of time in curricula for students to fully participate in virtual

WP2	(23/117,5/16,5/128,5)	mobility runs
• Train the trainer sessions (Staff	• EENU: 285,5 (26/105,5/19,5/134,5)	Possibility of purchase of identical
selection, preparation and running	• PHEI KUH "PUA": 232,5	equipment items by each HEI to
sessions, lectures guide, country-	(8/101,5/16,5/106,5)	eliminate the need for additional
specific lectures)	• TOPCL: 232 (13/126/37/56)	transportation
Research methodology and spin-off	• UNI: 309,9 (27,5/114,5/18,7/149,2)	Readiness of target groups to
courses (development and production)	• UNS: 323,9 (24,5/137,5/27,7/134,2)	participate in the project activities
Office upgrade (equipment purchase		• Timely availability of tech. and
on needs basis and institutional	• U: 239,5 (9/101,5/17,5/111,5)	admin. resources
upgrade to SMOs)	Equipment:	Ability of selected admin. and
Infrastructure (required internet	• •	teaching staff to assimilate and apply
space & literature purchase)	• 1400 digital books for libraries	training sessions materials
space a meratare parenase)	• 7 computers for SMOs	Availability and overlapping of
WP3	• 7 webcams for SMOs	research interests of PhD students
• 2 Virtual MRs (selection based on	• 7 multifunction devices for SMOs	with research fellows from host
criteria, teaching students, tasks and	 14 projectors for viewing courses by 	institutions
collaboration format, realizing MRs,	students and for teachers for use in	Timely performance of grant
papers evaluation)	lectures	transactions by EC to meet set out
Blended MRs (research areas, PhDs	• 56 laptops for students in mobility	deadlines
selection, arrangements, preparatory	runs	
virtual MRs, real MR, 2 nd virtual MR)	 14 laptops for teaching staff reps in mobility support 	
······································	mobility runs	
WP4	• 7 digital camcorders;	
Methodological framework for MR	• 7 suites of video-editing software	
(drafting, verification, improvement,	• 7 wireless mic systems	
codification & dissemination)	• 7 mics for wireless mic systems	
Skills improvement (coaching	• 7 microphones	
seminar, conference on interactions,	• 7 full HD TVs	
concluding meetings, round tables)		
······································	Mobility (staff):	
WP5	PC-EU: 41 flows/284 days	
Assessing project reports	• EU-PC: 34 flows/209 days	
Quality control on courses (mobility	• EU-EU: 11 flows/71 days	
lectures, research methodology,	 PC-PC: 54 flows/289 days 	
training session, materials	By Ukrainian partners in Ukraine: 16	

flows/102 days		
,		
110WS/ 113 Udys		
Mability (atudanta).		
5		
5		
5		
5		
5		
 By Serbian students in Serbia: 9 		
flows/27 days		
	 By Serbian partners in Serbia: 23 flows/113 days Mobility (students): PC-EU: 21 flows/1260 days EU-PC: 0 flows/0 days EU-EU: 0 flows/0 days PC-PC: 50 flows/1062 days By Ukrainian students in Ukraine: 6 flows/18 days 	 By Serbian partners in Serbia: 23 flows/113 days Mobility (students): PC-EU: 21 flows/1260 days EU-PC: 0 flows/0 days EU-EU: 0 flows/0 days PC-PC: 50 flows/1062 days By Ukrainian students in Ukraine: 6 flows/18 days By Serbian students in Serbia: 9